

NIST SPECIAL PUBLICATION 1800-15A

Securing Small-Business and Home Internet of Things (IoT) Devices

Mitigating Network-Based Attacks Using Manufacturer Usage Description (MUD)

Volume A:
Executive Summary

Donna Dodson
Tim Polk
Murugiah Souppaya
NIST

William C. Barker
Dakota Consulting

Parisa Grayeli
Mary Raguso
Susan Symington
The MITRE Corporation

April 2019

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

This publication is available free of charge from
<https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/mitigating-iot-based-ddos>



1 Executive Summary

2 The goal of the Internet Engineering Task Force’s [manufacturer usage description \(MUD\)](#) architecture is
3 for Internet of Things (IoT) devices to behave as intended by the manufacturers of the devices. This is
4 done by providing a standard way for manufacturers to identify each device’s type and to indicate the
5 network communications that it requires to perform its intended function. When MUD is used, the
6 network will automatically permit the IoT device to perform as intended, and the network will prohibit
7 all other device behaviors.

- 8 ▪ The National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) has demonstrated for IoT product
9 developers and implementers the ability to ensure that when an IoT device connects to a home
10 or small-business network, MUD can be used to automatically permit the device to send and
11 receive only the traffic it requires to perform its intended function.
- 12 ▪ A distributed denial of service (DDoS) attack can cause a significant negative impact to an
13 organization that is dependent on the internet to conduct business. A DDoS attack involves
14 multiple computing devices in disparate locations sending repeated requests to a server with
15 the intent to overload it and ultimately render it inaccessible.
- 16 ▪ Recently, IoT devices have been exploited to launch DDoS attacks. IoT devices may have
17 unpatched or easily discoverable software flaws, and many have minimal security, are
18 unprotected, or are difficult to secure.
- 19 ▪ A DDoS attack may result in substantial revenue losses and potential liability exposure that can
20 degrade a company’s reputation and erode customer trust. Victims of a DDoS attack can include
 - 21 • **communications service providers** who may suffer service degradation that affects their
22 customers
 - 23 • **businesses that rely on the internet** who may suffer if their customers are unable to reach
24 them
 - 25 • **IoT device manufacturers** who may suffer reputational damage if their devices are being
26 exploited
 - 27 • **users of IoT devices** who may suffer service degradation and potentially incur extra costs
28 due to increased activity by their captured machines
- 29 ▪ Use of MUD combats these IoT-based DDoS attacks by prohibiting unauthorized traffic to and
30 from IoT devices. Even if an IoT device becomes compromised, MUD prevents it from being used
31 in any attack that would require the device to send traffic to an unauthorized destination. MUD
32 provides a standard method for access control information to be available to network control
33 devices.
- 34 ▪ This National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Practice Guide explains
35 what consumers should expect from IoT device manufacturers and demonstrates how MUD
36 protocols and tools can reduce the potential for harm from exploited IoT devices. It also shows
37 IoT product and system providers how to integrate and use MUD to satisfy IoT users’ security
38 requirements.

39 CHALLENGE

40 The term *IoT* is often applied to the aggregate of single-purpose, internet-connected devices, such as
41 thermostats, security monitors, lighting control systems, and smart televisions. The IoT is experiencing
42 what some might describe as hypergrowth. [Gartner](#) predicts there will be 20.4 billion connected IoT
43 devices by 2020 compared with 8.4 billion in 2017, while [Forbes](#) forecasts the market to be \$457 billion
44 by 2020 (a 28.5 percent compounded annual growth rate).

45 As connected devices become more commonplace in homes and businesses, security concerns are also
46 increasing. Many full-featured devices, such as web servers, personal or business computers, and mobile
47 devices, often have state-of-the-art security software protecting them from most known threats.
48 Conversely, many IoT devices are challenging to secure because they are designed to be inexpensive and
49 to perform a single function—resulting in processing, timing, memory, and power constraints.
50 Nevertheless, the consequences of not addressing security concerns of connected devices can be
51 catastrophic. For instance, in typical networking environments, malicious actors can detect and attack
52 an IoT device within minutes of it being connected and then launch an attack on that same device from
53 any system on the internet, unbeknownst to the user. They can also commandeer a group of
54 compromised devices, called *botnets*, to launch large-scale DDoS and other attacks.

55 SOLUTION

56 This Mitigating IoT-Based DDoS Project demonstrates an approach to significantly strengthen security
57 while deploying IoT devices in home and small-business networks. This approach can help bolster the
58 resiliency of IoT devices and prevent them from being used as a platform to mount DDoS attacks across
59 the internet.

60 The NCCoE sought existing technologies that use the MUD specification to permit an IoT device to signal
61 to the network what sort of access and network functionality it requires to properly operate.
62 Constraining the communication abilities of exploited IoT devices reduces the potential for the devices
63 to be used in attacks—both DDoS attacks that could be launched across the internet and attacks on the
64 IoT device’s local network that could have security consequences. This practice guide explains how to
65 effectively implement the MUD specification for MUD-capable IoT devices, and it envisions methods for
66 preventing non-MUD-capable IoT devices from connecting to potentially malicious entities using threat
67 signaling technology.

68 While the NCCoE used a suite of commercial products to address this challenge, this guide does not
69 endorse these particular products, nor does it guarantee compliance with any regulatory initiatives. Your
70 organization’s information security experts should identify the products that will best integrate with
71 your existing tools and IT system infrastructure. Your organization can adopt this solution or one that
72 adheres to these guidelines in whole, or you can use this guide as a starting point for tailoring and
73 implementing parts of a solution.

74 BENEFITS

75 The NCCoE’s practice guide to Mitigating IoT-Based DDoS can help

- 76
 - 77 communications service providers and businesses that rely on the internet understand how
wide deployment of MUD can help effectively combat DDoS attacks

- 78 ▪ IoT device manufacturers understand the relatively small steps that are required of them to
79 design and enable their devices to take advantage of MUD
- 80 ▪ users of IoT devices better understand that MUD is a crucial component of overall network
81 security and that they should both deploy the infrastructure required to support MUD and use
82 IoT devices that can take advantage of MUD

83 **SHARE YOUR FEEDBACK**

84 You can view or download the guide at [https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/mitigating-
85 iot-based-ddos](https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/mitigating-iot-based-ddos). Help the NCCoE make this guide better by sharing your thoughts with us as you read the
86 guide. If you adopt this solution for your own organization, please share your experience and advice
87 with us. We recognize that technical solutions alone will not fully enable the benefits of our solution, so
88 we encourage organizations to share lessons learned and best practices for transforming the processes
89 associated with implementing this guide.

90 To provide comments or to learn more by arranging a demonstration of this example implementation,
91 contact the NCCoE at mitigating-iot-ddos-nccoe@nist.gov.

92

93 **TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS/COLLABORATORS**

94 Organizations participating in this project submitted their capabilities in response to an open call in the
95 Federal Register for all sources of relevant security capabilities from academia and industry (vendors
96 and integrators). The following respondents with relevant capabilities or product components (identified
97 as “Technology Partners/Collaborators” herein) signed a Cooperative Research and Development
98 Agreement (CRADA) to collaborate with NIST in a consortium to build this example solution:



99

100 Certain commercial entities, equipment, products, or materials may be identified by name or company
101 logo or other insignia in order to acknowledge their participation in this collaboration or to describe an
102 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply special
103 status or relationship with NIST or recommendation or endorsement by NIST or NCCoE; neither is it
104 intended to imply that the entities, equipment, products, or materials are necessarily the best available
105 for the purpose.

The National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE), a part of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), is a collaborative hub where industry organizations, government agencies, and academic institutions work together to address businesses’ most pressing cybersecurity challenges. Through this collaboration, the NCCoE develops modular, easily adaptable example cybersecurity solutions demonstrating how to apply standards and best practices using commercially available technology.

LEARN MORE

Visit <https://www.nccoe.nist.gov>
nccoe@nist.gov
301-975-0200