

NIST SPECIAL PUBLICATION 1800-15A

Securing Small-Business and Home Internet of Things (IoT) Devices

Mitigating Network-Based Attacks Using Manufacturer Usage Description (MUD)

Volume A:
Executive Summary

Donna Dodson*
Tim Polk
Murugiah Souppaya
NIST

William C. Barker
Dakota Consulting

Parisa Grayeli
Susan Symington
The MITRE Corporation

*Former NIST Employee

September 2020

DRAFT

This publication is available free of charge from
<https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/mitigating-iot-based-ddos>



1 Executive Summary

2 WHY WE WROTE THIS GUIDE

3 Gartner predicts there will be [25 billion Internet of Things \(IoT\) devices by 2021](#). While such rapid
4 growth has the potential to provide many benefits, it is also a cause for concern because IoT devices are
5 tempting targets for attackers. State-of-the-art security software protects full-featured devices, such as
6 laptops and phones, from most known threats, but many IoT devices, such as connected thermostats,
7 security cameras, and lighting control systems, have minimal security or are unprotected. Because they
8 are designed to be inexpensive and limited purpose, IoT devices may have unpatched software flaws.
9 They also often have processing, timing, memory, and power constraints that make them challenging to
10 secure. Users often do not know what IoT devices are on their networks and lack means for controlling
11 access to them over their life cycles. However, the consequences of not addressing the security of IoT
12 devices can be catastrophic. For instance, in typical networking environments, malicious actors can
13 detect and attack an IoT device within minutes of it connecting to the internet. If it has a known
14 vulnerability, this weakness can be exploited at scale, enabling an attacker to commandeer sets of
15 compromised devices, called *botnets*, to launch large-scale distributed denial of service (DDoS) attacks,
16 such as [Mirai](#), as well as other network-based attacks. DDoS attacks can significantly harm an
17 organization, rendering it impossible for the organization's customers to reach it and thereby resulting
18 in revenue loss, potential liability exposure, reputation damage, and eroded customer trust.

19 CHALLENGE

20 Because IoT devices are designed to be low cost and for limited purposes, it is not realistic to try to solve
21 the problem of IoT device vulnerability by requiring that all IoT devices be equipped with robust, state-
22 of-the-art security mechanisms. Instead, we are challenged to develop ways to improve IoT device
23 security without requiring costly or complicated improvements to the devices themselves.

24 A second challenge lies in the need to develop security mechanisms that will be effective even though
25 IoT devices will, by their very nature, remain vulnerable to attack, and some will inevitably be
26 compromised. These security mechanisms should protect the rest of the network from any devices that
27 become compromised.

28 Given the widespread use of IoT devices by consumers who may not even be aware that the devices are
29 accessing their network, a third challenge is the practical need for IoT security mechanisms to be easy to
30 use. Ideally, security features should be so transparent that a user need not even be aware of their
31 operation.

32 To address these challenges, the National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) and its
33 collaborators have demonstrated the practicality and effectiveness of using the Internet Engineering
34 Task Force's [Manufacturer Usage Description \(MUD\)](#) standard to reduce both the vulnerability of IoT
35 devices to network-based attacks and the potential for harm from any IoT devices that become
36 compromised.

37 SOLUTION

38 The NCCoE and its collaborators have demonstrated how MUD can be deployed to strengthen security
39 for IoT devices on home and small-business networks by helping prevent IoT devices from becoming

40 both victims and perpetrators of network-based attacks. The solution outlined in this guide uses MUD to
41 enable networks to automatically permit each IoT device to send and receive only the traffic it requires
42 to perform its intended function and to prohibit all other communication with the device. By prohibiting
43 unauthorized traffic to and from a device, the solution outlined in this guide both reduces the
44 opportunity for an IoT device to be compromised by a network-based attack and reduces the ability of
45 compromised devices to participate in network-based attacks such as DDoS campaigns. The NCCoE built
46 four implementations of the MUD-based reference solution:

- 47 ▪ Build 1 uses products from Cisco Systems to support MUD, from DigiCert to provide certificates,
48 from Forescout to perform non-MUD-related discovery of devices, and from Molex to provide a
49 MUD-capable IoT device.
- 50 ▪ Build 2 uses products from MasterPeace Solutions, Ltd. to support MUD, perform non-MUD-
51 related device discovery, and apply traffic rules to all devices based on a device's manufacturer
52 and model. It uses certificates from DigiCert, and it integrates with services provided by Global
53 Cyber Alliance and ThreatSTOP to prevent devices from connecting to domains that have been
54 identified as potentially malicious based on current threat intelligence.
- 55 ▪ Build 3 uses equipment supplied by CableLabs to support MUD. It leverages the Wi-Fi Easy
56 Connect specification to securely onboard devices to the network and uses software-defined
57 networking to create separate trust zones (e.g., network segments) to which devices can be
58 assigned according to their intended network function. It also uses certificates from DigiCert.
- 59 ▪ Build 4 uses DigiCert certificates and software developed by the National Institute of Standards
60 and Technology's (NIST's) Advanced Networking Technologies Division as a working prototype
61 that demonstrates feasibility and scalability of the MUD specification.

62 The NCCoE also developed this practice guide, which details the MUD-based reference solution and its
63 four example implementations and maps the solution's capabilities to security controls specified in NIST
64 Special Publication (SP) 800-53 and the NIST Cybersecurity Framework. This practice guide can help:

- 65 ▪ organizations that rely on the internet to understand how MUD can be used to protect internet
66 availability and performance against network-based attacks
- 67 ▪ IoT device manufacturers see how MUD can protect against reputational damage resulting from
68 their devices being exploited to support DDoS or other network-based attacks
- 69 ▪ service providers benefit from reduced numbers of IoT devices that can be used to participate in
70 DDoS attacks against their networks and degrade service for their customers
- 71 ▪ users of IoT devices understand how MUD-capable products protect their internal networks and
72 thereby help them avoid suffering increased costs and bandwidth saturation that could result
73 from having their machines compromised and used to launch network-based attacks

74 While the NCCoE used a suite of technologies to address this challenge, this guide does not endorse any
75 particular products, nor does it guarantee compliance with any regulatory initiatives. Your organization's
76 information security experts should identify the products that will best integrate with your existing tools
77 and IT system infrastructure. Your organization can adopt this solution or one that adheres to these
78 guidelines in whole, or you can use this guide as a starting point for tailoring and implementing parts of
79 a solution.

80 HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

81 This guide contains three volumes and a supplement:

- 82 • NIST SP 1800-15A: *Executive Summary—why we wrote this guide, the challenge we address, why*
83 *it could be important to your organization, and our approach to solving this challenge (you are*
84 *here)*
- 85 • NIST SP 1800-15B: *Approach, Architecture, and Security Characteristics—what we built and why,*
86 *including the risk analysis performed and the security control map*
- 87 • NIST SP 1800-15C: *How-To Guides—instructions for building the example implementations,*
88 *including all the security-relevant details that would allow you to replicate all or parts of this*
89 *project*
- 90 • Functional Demonstration Results—supplement to NIST SP 1800-15B: *describes the functional*
91 *demonstration results for the four implementations of the MUD-based reference solution*

92 SUPPORTING RESOURCES

93 The supporting resources for this project include:

- 94 • [Methodology for Characterizing Network Behavior of IoT Devices white paper](#)—demonstrates
95 how to use device characterization techniques to describe the communication requirements of
96 IoT devices in support of the MUD specification
- 97 • [NCCoE MUD-PD](#)—a tool for characterizing IoT devices particularly for use with MUD and MUD
98 file generation

99 SHARE YOUR FEEDBACK

100 You can view or download the guide and the supporting resources at
101 <https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/mitigating-iot-based-ddos>. Help the NCCoE make
102 this guide better by sharing your thoughts with us as you read the guide. If you adopt this solution for
103 your own organization, please share your experience and advice with us. We recognize that technical
104 solutions alone will not fully enable the benefits of our solution, so we encourage organizations to share
105 lessons learned and best practices for transforming the processes associated with implementing this
106 guide.

107 To provide comments or to learn more by arranging a demonstration of this example implementation,
108 contact the NCCoE at mitigating-iot-ddos-nccoe@nist.gov.

109

110 TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS/COLLABORATORS

111 Organizations participating in this project submitted their capabilities in response to an open call in the
112 Federal Register for all sources of relevant security capabilities from academia and industry (vendors
113 and integrators). The following respondents with relevant capabilities or product components (identified
114 as “Technology Partners/Collaborators” herein) signed a Cooperative Research and Development
115 Agreement (CRADA) to collaborate with NIST in a consortium to build this example solution.



116

117 Certain commercial entities, equipment, products, or materials may be identified by name or company
118 logo or other insignia in order to acknowledge their participation in this collaboration or to describe an
119 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply special
120 status or relationship with NIST or recommendation or endorsement by NIST or NCCoE; neither is it
121 intended to imply that the entities, equipment, products, or materials are necessarily the best available
122 for the purpose.

The National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE), a part of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), is a collaborative hub where industry organizations, government agencies, and academic institutions work together to address businesses' most pressing cybersecurity challenges. Through this collaboration, the NCCoE develops modular, adaptable example cybersecurity solutions demonstrating how to apply standards and best practices using commercially available technology.

LEARN MORE

Visit <https://www.nccoe.nist.gov>
nccoe@nist.gov
301-975-0200