

Trusted IOT Lifecycle



Big Picture – why is this important

- No shared passwords (security)
- Low touch provisioning (usability)
- Policy encapsulation (flexibility)
- Supply chain integration (business + security)



Incremental Challenge.....

BRSKI WIFI mapping

- Full BRSKI mapping to WIFI onboarding
- Specifically, EAP-TLS certificate
- Full Secure element integration (changes to flow)
- Unique onboarding certificate issued to each device
- Allows for per device revocation (lifecycle management)



Incremental Challenge.....

Flexible Policy

- Build 5 support generalised and extensible policy
- Implements the decision points implicit in BRSKI
- Extends them and drives from a generic policy engine
- Policy evidence is presented interoperable through W3C
 Verifiable credentials





Continuous assurance

- Lifecyle management!
- Reacts to changes in environment & information
- Reuses same policy framework
- Simple Radius server extension implementation
- Can be extended to other "Policy decisions



Demo

Policy dimensions



Build 5

Network owner: network owner defined preferences

Device owner: implicit and explicit device ownership is part of the decision (including receipts)

Manufacture: is the manufacture trusted? Is the manufacture trusted to be part of the decision

SBOM: supply chain and vulnerability process

MUD: device intent and dynamic behaviour

Verifiable Credentials



Why?

- Interoperable: interworking standard for all aspects of the stack. More "explicit" than digital certs. Common expression for all crypto artefacts.
- Data centric security reduces API/ integration complexity. Integrity, provenance (identity) and revocation baked in.
- Policy evidence: better foundation for policy decisions. Explicit trust base.
 Easy to extend and integrate
- Composable: VCs can be combined and reasoned over

Learnings



Build 5

Secure: EAP-TLS is a vast improvement, providing strong single device controls, but we need good protocols to provision.

DevID lifecycle: a single iDevID is fragile. We need more sophisticated identity lifecycle to manage SBOM and MUD at scale. Provisioning models!

Secure element: secure management of local credentials is critical. Code stacks need better support (e.g. EAP-TLS)

Flexible policy: expressing flexible policy with interoperable credentials, is invaluable to support different ecosystems and business models



Open source assets

https://trustnetz.org https://github.com/nqminds/trustnetz

Questions

nick@nquiringminds.com Nick Allott

