# **NIST SPECIAL PUBLICATION 1800-36D**

# Trusted Internet of Things (IoT) Device Network-Layer Onboarding and Lifecycle Management

Enhancing Internet Protocol-Based IoT Device and Network Security

Volume D: Functional Demonstrations

Paul Watrobski Murugiah Souppaya National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence

Information Technology Laboratory
Chelsea Deane

Joshua Klosterman Charlie Rearick Blaine Mulugeta Susan Symington The MITRE Corporation McLean, Virginia

May 2023

PRELIMINARY DRAFT

This publication is available free of charge from <a href="https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/trusted-iot-device-network-layer-onboarding-and-lifecycle-management">https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/trusted-iot-device-network-layer-onboarding-and-lifecycle-management</a>



#### 1 **DISCLAIMER**

- 2 Certain commercial entities, equipment, products, or materials may be identified by name or company
- 3 logo or other insignia in order to acknowledge their participation in this collaboration or to describe an
- 4 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply special
- 5 status or relationship with NIST or recommendation or endorsement by NIST or NCCoE; neither is it
- 6 intended to imply that the entities, equipment, products, or materials are necessarily the best available
- 7 for the purpose.
- 8 National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 1800-36D, Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol.
- 9 Spec. Publ. 1800-36D, 28 pages, May 2023, CODEN: NSPUE2

#### 10 FEEDBACK

- 11 You can improve this guide by contributing feedback. As you review and adopt this solution for your
- 12 own organization, we ask you and your colleagues to share your experience and advice with us.
- 13 Comments on this publication may be submitted to: <u>iot-onboarding@nist.gov</u>.
- 14 Public comment period: May 3, 2023 through June 20, 2023

| 15 | National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence    |
|----|--|
| 16 | National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| 17 | 100 Bureau Drive                               |
| 18 | Mailstop 2002                                  |
| 19 | Gaithersburg, MD 20899                         |
| 20 | Email: <u>nccoe@nist.gov</u>                   |
|    |  |

## 21 NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

- 22 The National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE), a part of the National Institute of Standards
- 23 and Technology (NIST), is a collaborative hub where industry organizations, government agencies, and
- 24 academic institutions work together to address businesses' most pressing cybersecurity issues. This
- 25 public-private partnership enables the creation of practical cybersecurity solutions for specific
- 26 industries, as well as for broad, cross-sector technology challenges. Through consortia under
- 27 Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADAs), including technology partners—from
- 28 Fortune 50 market leaders to smaller companies specializing in information technology security—the
- 29 NCCoE applies standards and best practices to develop modular, adaptable example cybersecurity
- 30 solutions using commercially available technology. The NCCoE documents these example solutions in
- 31 the NIST Special Publication 1800 series, which maps capabilities to the NIST Cybersecurity Framework
- 32 and details the steps needed for another entity to re-create the example solution. The NCCoE was
- established in 2012 by NIST in partnership with the State of Maryland and Montgomery County,
- 34 Maryland.

To learn more about the NCCoE, visit <u>https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/</u>. To learn more about NIST, visit https://www.nist.gov.

### 37 NIST CYBERSECURITY PRACTICE GUIDES

- 38 NIST Cybersecurity Practice Guides (Special Publication 1800 series) target specific cybersecurity
- 39 challenges in the public and private sectors. They are practical, user-friendly guides that facilitate the
- 40 adoption of standards-based approaches to cybersecurity. They show members of the information
- 41 security community how to implement example solutions that help them align with relevant standards
- 42 and best practices, and provide users with the materials lists, configuration files, and other information
- 43 they need to implement a similar approach.
- 44 The documents in this series describe example implementations of cybersecurity practices that
- 45 businesses and other organizations may voluntarily adopt. These documents do not describe regulations
- 46 or mandatory practices, nor do they carry statutory authority.

#### 47 **KEYWORDS**

- 48 application-layer onboarding; bootstrapping; Internet of Things (IoT); Manufacturer Usage Description
- 49 (MUD); network-layer onboarding; onboarding; Wi-Fi Easy Connect.

## 50 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

51 We are grateful to the following individuals for their generous contributions of expertise and time.

| Name                      | Organization                                |
|---------------------------|---|
| Amogh Guruprasad Deshmukh | Aruba, a Hewlett Packard Enterprise company |
| Dan Harkins               | Aruba, a Hewlett Packard Enterprise company |
| Danny Jump                | Aruba, a Hewlett Packard Enterprise company |
| Andy Dolan                | CableLabs                                   |
| Kyle Haefner              | CableLabs                                   |
| Craig Pratt               | CableLabs                                   |
| Darshak Thakore           | CableLabs                                   |
| Bart Brinkman             | Cisco                                       |
| Eliot Lear                | Cisco                                       |
| Peter Romness             | Cisco                                       |
| Tyler Baker               | Foundries.io                                |
| George Grey               | Foundries.io                                |
| David Griego              | Foundries.io                                |
| Fabien Gremaud            | Kudelski loT                                |
| Brecht Wyseur             | Kudelski loT                                |
| Faith Ryan                | The MITRE Corporation                       |

| Name                    | Organization             |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nicholas Allot          | NquiringMinds            |
| Toby Ealden             | NquiringMinds            |
| Alois Klink             | NquiringMinds            |
| John Manslow            | NquiringMinds            |
| Antony McCaigue         | NquiringMinds            |
| Alexandru Mereacre      | NquiringMinds            |
| Craig Rafter            | NquiringMinds            |
| Loic Cavaille           | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Mihai Chelalau          | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Julien Delplancke       | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Anda-Alexandra Dorneanu | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Todd Nuzum              | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Nicusor Penisoara       | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Laurentiu Tudor         | NXP Semiconductors       |
| Michael Richardson      | Sandelman Software Works |
| Karen Scarfone          | Scarfone Cybersecurity   |
| Mike Dow                | Silicon Labs             |
| Steve Egerter           | Silicon Labs             |

| Name            | Organization |
|-----------------|--------------|
| Steve Clark     | WISeKey      |
| Pedro Fuentes   | WISeKey      |
| Gweltas Radenac | WISeKey      |
| Kalvin Yang     | WISeKey      |

- 52 The Technology Partners/Collaborators who participated in this build submitted their capabilities in
- response to a notice in the Federal Register. Respondents with relevant capabilities or product
- 54 components were invited to sign a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with
- 55 NIST, allowing them to participate in a consortium to build this example solution. We worked with:

| Technology Collaborators |                              |                          |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Aruba, a Hewlett Packard | Kudelski IoT                 | Sandelman Software Works |
| Enterprise company       |                              |                          |
| CableLabs                | NquiringMinds                | Silicon Labs             |
| Cisco                    | NXP Semiconductors           | WISeKey                  |
| Foundries.io             | Open Connectivity Foundation |                          |
|                          | <u>(OCF)</u>                 |                          |

#### 56 **DOCUMENT CONVENTIONS**

- 57 The terms "shall" and "shall not" indicate requirements to be followed strictly to conform to the
- 58 publication and from which no deviation is permitted. The terms "should" and "should not" indicate that
- 59 among several possibilities, one is recommended as particularly suitable without mentioning or
- 60 excluding others, or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required, or that (in
- 61 the negative form) a certain possibility or course of action is discouraged but not prohibited. The terms
- 62 "may" and "need not" indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the publication. The
- 63 terms "can" and "cannot" indicate a possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal.

64

65

67

## **CALL FOR PATENT CLAIMS**

This public review includes a call for information on essential patent claims (claims whose use would be required for compliance with the guidance or requirements in this Information Technology Laboratory 66 (ITL) draft publication). Such guidance and/or requirements may be directly stated in this ITL Publication or by reference to another publication. This call also includes disclosure, where known, of the existence 68 of pending U.S. or foreign patent applications relating to this ITL draft publication and of any relevant

- 69 unexpired U.S. or foreign patents.
- 70 ITL may require from the patent holder, or a party authorized to make assurances on its behalf, in 71 written or electronic form, either:
- 72 a) assurance in the form of a general disclaimer to the effect that such party does not hold and does not 73 currently intend holding any essential patent claim(s); or
- 74 b) assurance that a license to such essential patent claim(s) will be made available to applicants desiring 75 to utilize the license for the purpose of complying with the guidance or requirements in this ITL draft
- 76 publication either:
- 77 1. under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination; 78 or
- 79 2. without compensation and under reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination. 80
- 81 Such assurance shall indicate that the patent holder (or third party authorized to make assurances on its
- behalf) will include in any documents transferring ownership of patents subject to the assurance, 82
- 83 provisions sufficient to ensure that the commitments in the assurance are binding on the transferee,
- 84 and that the transferee will similarly include appropriate provisions in the event of future transfers with 85 the goal of binding each successor-in-interest.
- 86 The assurance shall also indicate that it is intended to be binding on successors-in-interest regardless of whether such provisions are included in the relevant transfer documents. 87
- 88 Such statements should be addressed to: iot-onboarding@nist.gov.

## 89 **Contents**

| 90       | 1 | Intr | oduction  | 1  |
|----------|---|------|---|----|
| 91       |   | 1.1  | How to Use This Guide   | 1  |
| 92       | 2 | Fun  | ctional Demonstration Playbook  | 3  |
| 93       |   | 2.1  | Scenario 1: Trusted Network-Layer Onboarding  | 3  |
| 94       |   | 2.2  | Scenario 2: Trusted Application-Layer Onboarding  | 4  |
| 95       |   | 2.3  | Scenario 3: Re-Onboarding a Device  | 5  |
| 96       |   | 2.4  | Scenario 4: Ongoing Device Validation   | 6  |
| 97<br>98 |   | 2.5  | Scenario 5: Establishment and Maintenance of Credential and Device Security<br>Posture Throughout the Lifecycle | 7  |
| 99       | 3 | Fun  | ctional Demonstration Results   | 8  |
| 100      |   | 3.1  | Build 1 Demonstration Results   | 8  |
| 101      |   | 3.2  | Build 2 Demonstration Results   | 14 |

# 102 List of Tables

| 103        | Table 2-1 Scenario 1 Trusted Network-Layer Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated4                                   |
|------------|--|
| 104        | Table 2-2 Scenario 2 Trusted Application-Layer Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated5                               |
| 105        | Table 2-3 Scenario 3 Re-Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated         5   |
| 106        | Table 2-4 Scenario 4 Ongoing Device Validation Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated   |
| 107<br>108 | Table 2-5 Scenario 5 Credential and Device Posture Establishment and Maintenance Capabilities That         May Be Demonstrated |
| 109        | Table 3-1 Build 1 Capabilities Demonstrated  |
| 110        | Table 3-2 Build 2 Capabilities Demonstrated    14  |

## 111 **1 Introduction**

- 112 In this project, the National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE) applies standards,
- 113 recommended practices, and commercially available technology to demonstrate various mechanisms for
- 114 trusted network-layer onboarding of IoT devices and lifecycle management of those devices. We show
- 115 how to provision network credentials to IoT devices in a trusted manner and maintain a secure posture
- 116 throughout the device lifecycle.
- 117 This volume of the NIST Cybersecurity Practice Guide describes functional demonstration scenarios that
- are designed to showcase the security capabilities and characteristics supported by trusted IoT device
- 119 network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management solutions. Section 2, <u>Functional Demonstration</u>
- 120 Playbook, defines the scenarios and lists the capabilities that can be showcased in each one. Section 3,
- 121 <u>Functional Demonstration Results</u>, reports which capabilities have been demonstrated by each of the
- 122 project's implemented solutions.

## 123 **1.1 How to Use This Guide**

- 124 This NIST Cybersecurity Practice Guide demonstrates a standards-based reference design for
- 125 implementing trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management and describes
- 126 various example implementations of this reference design. Each of these implementations, which are
- 127 known as *builds,* are standards-based and are designed to help provide assurance that networks are not
- 128 put at risk as new IoT devices are added to them and also to help safeguard IoT devices from being
- 129 taken over by unauthorized networks. The reference design described in this practice guide is modular
- and can be deployed in whole or in part, enabling organizations to incorporate trusted IoT device
- 131 network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management into their legacy environments according to goals
- 132 that they have prioritized based on risk, cost, and resources.
- 133 NIST is adopting an agile process to publish this content. Each volume is being made available as soon as 134 possible rather than delaying release until all volumes are completed. Work continues on implementing
- 135 the example solutions and developing other parts of the content. As a preliminary draft, we will publish
- 136 at least one additional draft for public comment before it is finalized.
- 137 When complete, this guide will contain five volumes:
- NIST SP 1800-36A: *Executive Summary* why we wrote this guide, the challenge we address,
   why it could be important to your organization, and our approach to solving this challenge
- 140 NIST SP 1800-36B: Approach, Architecture, and Security Characteristics what we built and why
- 141 NIST SP 1800-36C: *How-To Guides* instructions for building the example implementations,
   including all the security-relevant details that would allow you to replicate all or parts of this
   project

- NIST SP 1800-36D: *Functional Demonstrations* use cases that have been defined to showcase trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management security capabilities, and the results of demonstrating these use cases with each of the example implementations
   (you are here)
- NIST SP 1800-36E: *Risk and Compliance Management* risk analysis and mapping of trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management security characteristics to cybersecurity standards and recommended practices
- 151 Depending on your role in your organization, you might use this guide in different ways:

152 **Business decision makers, including chief security and technology officers,** will be interested in the 153 *Executive Summary, NIST SP 1800-36A*, which describes the following topics:

- challenges that enterprises face in migrating to the use of trusted IoT device network-layer
   onboarding
- 156 example solutions built at the NCCoE
- 157 benefits of adopting the example solution
- Technology or security program managers who are concerned with how to identify, understand, assess,
   and mitigate risk will be interested in *NIST SP 1800-36B*, which describes what we did and why.
- 160 Also, Section 4 of *NIST SP 1800-36E* will be of particular interest. Section 4, *Mappings*, maps logical
- 161 components of the general trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management
- 162 reference design to security characteristics listed in various cybersecurity standards and recommended
- 163 practices documents, including Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (NIST
- 164 Cybersecurity Framework) and Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations
- 165 (NIST SP 800-53).
- 166 You might share the *Executive Summary, NIST SP 1800-36A*, with your leadership team members to help
- 167 them understand the importance of using standards-based trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding
- 168 and lifecycle management implementations.
- 169 **IT professionals** who want to implement similar solutions will find the whole practice guide useful. You
- 170 can use the how-to portion of the guide, *NIST SP 1800-36C*, to replicate all or parts of the builds created
- in our lab. The how-to portion of the guide provides specific product installation, configuration, and
- 172 integration instructions for implementing the example solution. We do not re-create the product
- 173 manufacturers' documentation, which is generally widely available. Rather, we show how we
- 174 incorporated the products together in our environment to create an example solution. Also, you can use
- 175 *Functional Demonstrations, NIST SP 1800-36D*, which provides the use cases that have been defined to
- 176 showcase trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management security capabilities
- and the results of demonstrating these use cases with each of the example implementations.

- 178 This guide assumes that IT professionals have experience implementing security products within the
- 179 enterprise. While we have used a suite of commercial products to address this challenge, this guide does
- 180 not endorse these particular products. Your organization can adopt this solution or one that adheres to
- 181 these guidelines in whole, or you can use this guide as a starting point for tailoring and implementing
- 182 parts of a trusted IoT device network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management. Your organization's
- 183 security experts should identify the products that will best integrate with your existing tools and IT
- system infrastructure. We hope that you will seek products that are congruent with applicable standards
- 185 and recommended practices.
- 186 A NIST Cybersecurity Practice Guide does not describe "the" solution, but example solutions. This is a
- 187 preliminary draft guide. As the project progresses, the preliminary draft will be updated, and additional
- volumes will also be released for comment. We seek feedback on the publication's contents and
- 189 welcome your input. Comments, suggestions, and success stories will improve subsequent versions of
- 190 this guide. Please contribute your thoughts to <u>iot-onboarding@nist.gov</u>.

## **2 Functional Demonstration Playbook**

- Five scenarios have been defined that demonstrate capabilities related to various aspects of trusted IoT
  device network-layer onboarding, application-layer onboarding, and device lifecycle management.
  These scenarios are as follows:
- 195 Scenario 1: Trusted Network-Layer Onboarding
- 196 Scenario 2: Trusted Application-Layer Onboarding
- 197 Scenario 3: Re-Onboarding a Device
- 198 Scenario 4: Ongoing Device Validation
- Scenario 5: Establishment and Maintenance of Credential and Device Security Posture
   Throughout the Lifecycle
- 201 We executed the trusted network-layer onboarding and lifecycle management scenarios using both
- 202 onboarding builds that have been implemented as part of this project. The capabilities that were
- 203 demonstrated depend both on the features of the network-layer onboarding protocol (i.e., Wi-Fi Easy
- 204 Connect) that the build supports and on any additional mechanisms the build may have integrated (e.g.,205 application-layer onboarding).
- 205 application-layer of boarding).
- 206 Sections 2.1 through 2.5 define each of the five onboarding scenarios.

## 207 2.1 Scenario 1: Trusted Network-Layer Onboarding

- 208 This scenario involves trusted network-layer onboarding of an authorized IoT device to a local network
- that is operated by the owner of the IoT device. Onboarding is performed after the device has booted up
- and is placed in onboarding mode. Because the organization that is operating the local network is the

- 211 owner of the IoT device, the device is authorized to onboard to the network and the network is
- authorized to onboard the device. In this scenario, after the identities of the device and the network are
- authenticated, a *network onboarding component*—a logical component authorized to onboard devices
- on behalf of the network—provisions unique network credentials to the device over a secure channel.
- These network credentials are not just specific to the device; they are also specific to the local network.
- The device then uses these credentials to connect to the network. Table 2-1 lists the capabilities that
- 217 may be demonstrated in this scenario.
- 218 Table 2-1 Scenario 1 Trusted Network-Layer Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                    | Description  |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| \$1.C1     | Device Authentication         | The onboarding mechanism authenticates the device's identity.  |
| \$1.C2     | Device Authorization          | The onboarding mechanism verifies that the device is authorized to onboard to the network.                               |
| S1.C3      | Network Authentication        | The device can verify the network's identity.  |
| \$1.C4     | Network Authorization         | The device can verify that the network is authorized to take control of it.  |
| \$1.C5     | Secure Local<br>Credentialing | The onboarding mechanism securely provisions local network credentials to the device.                                    |
| S1.C6      | Secure Storage                | The credentials are provisioned to secure hardware-backed storage on the device.   |
| \$1.C7     | Network Selection             | The onboarding mechanism provides the IoT device with the identifier of the network to which the device should onboard.  |
| S1.C8      | Interoperability              | The network-layer onboarding mechanism can onboard two types of IoT devices (e.g., different device vendors and models). |

### 219 2.2 Scenario 2: Trusted Application-Layer Onboarding

- 220 This scenario involves trusted application-layer onboarding that is performed automatically on an IoT
- device after the device connects to a network. As a result, this scenario can be thought of as a series of
- steps that would be performed as an extension of Scenario 1. As part of these steps, the device
- automatically mutually authenticates with a trusted application-layer onboarding service and establishes
- an encrypted connection to that application-layer onboarding service so the service can provision the
- device with application-layer credentials. The application-layer credentials could, for example, enable
- the device to securely connect to a trusted lifecycle management service to check for available updates
- 227 or patches. For the application-layer onboarding mechanism to be trusted, it must establish an
- 228 encrypted connection to the device without exposing any information that must be protected to ensure
- the confidentiality of that connection. Two types of application-layer onboarding are defined in NIST SP

230 1800-36B: *streamlined* and *independent*. Table 2-2 lists the capabilities that may be demonstrated in this

- 231 scenario, including both types of application-layer onboarding.
- 232 Table 2-2 Scenario 2 Trusted Application-Layer Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability  | Description   |
|------------|---|---|
| S2.C1      | Automatic Initiation<br>of Streamlined<br>Application-Layer<br>Onboarding | The device can automatically (i.e., with no manual intervention<br>required) initiate trusted application-layer onboarding after<br>performing network-layer onboarding and connecting to the network.<br>In this case, the application-layer onboarding bootstrapping<br>information has been securely conveyed to the device during the<br>network-layer onboarding process.  |
| \$2.C2     | Automatic Initiation<br>of Independent<br>Application-Layer<br>Onboarding | The device can automatically (i.e., with no manual intervention<br>required) initiate trusted application-layer onboarding after<br>performing network-layer onboarding and connecting to the network.<br>In this case, the application-layer onboarding bootstrapping<br>information has been pre-provisioned to the device by the device<br>manufacturer or integrator (e.g., as part of an application that has<br>been installed on the device during the manufacturing process). |
| S2.C3      | Trusted Application-<br>Layer Onboarding                                  | The device and a trusted application service can establish an<br>encrypted connection without exposing any information that must be<br>protected to ensure the confidentiality of the connection. They can<br>then use that secure association to exchange application-layer<br>information.  |

## 233 2.3 Scenario 3: Re-Onboarding a Device

234 This scenario involves re-onboarding an IoT device to a network after deleting its network credentials so

that the device can be re-credentialed and re-connected. If the device also supports application-layer

onboarding, application-layer onboarding should also be able to be performed again after the device

reconnects to the network. Table 2-3 lists the capabilities that may be demonstrated in this scenario.

238 Table 2-3 Scenario 3 Re-Onboarding Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                               | Description   |
|------------|--|---|
| S3.C1      | Credential Deletion                      | The device's network credential can be deleted.   |
| S3.C2      | De-Credentialed Device<br>Cannot Connect | After the device's network credential has been deleted, the device<br>is not able to connect to or communicate on the network securely. |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                           | Description   |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| \$3.C3     | Re-Onboarding<br>(network layer)     | After the device's network credential has been deleted, the<br>network-layer onboarding mechanism can securely re-provision a<br>network credential to the device, which the device can then use to<br>connect to the network securely.               |
| \$3.C4     | Re-Onboarding<br>(application layer) | After the device's network and application-layer credentials have<br>been deleted and the device has been re-onboarded at the<br>network layer and re-connected to the network, the device can<br>again perform trusted application-layer onboarding. |

### 239 2.4 Scenario 4: Ongoing Device Validation

This scenario involves ongoing validation of a device, not only as part of a trusted boot or attestation process prior to permitting the device to undergo network-layer onboarding, but also after the device has connected to the network. It may involve one or more security mechanisms that are designed to evaluate, validate, or respond to device trustworthiness using methods such as examining device behavior, ensuring device authenticity and integrity, and assigning the device to a specific network segment based on its conformance to policy criteria. Table 2-4 lists the capabilities that may be

246 demonstrated in this scenario.

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                 | Description   |
|------------|--|---|
| S4.C1      | Device Attestation<br>(initial)            | The network-layer onboarding mechanism requires successful device attestation prior to permitting the device to be onboarded.   |
| S4.C2      | Device Attestation<br>(application layer)  | The application-layer onboarding mechanism requires successful device attestation prior to permitting the device to be onboarded.   |
| S4.C3      | Device Attestation<br>(ongoing)            | Successful device attestation is required prior to permitting the device to perform some operation (e.g., accessing a high-value resource).   |
| S4.C4      | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(initial) | Upon connection, the IoT device is assigned to some local network segment in accordance with policy, which may include an assessment of its security posture.   |
| S4.C5      | Behavioral Analysis                        | Device behavior is observed to determine whether the device meets<br>the policy criteria required to be permitted to perform a given<br>operation (e.g., to access a high-value resource or be placed on a<br>given network segment). |

247 Table 2-4 Scenario 4 Ongoing Device Validation Capabilities That May Be Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                 | Description   |
|------------|--|---|
| \$4.C6     | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(ongoing) | The IoT device can be reassigned to a different network segment based on ongoing assessments of its conformance to policy criteria. |

# 248 2.5 Scenario 5: Establishment and Maintenance of Credential and Device 249 Security Posture Throughout the Lifecycle

This scenario involves steps used to help establish and maintain the security posture of both the device's network credentials and the device itself. It includes the capability to download and validate the device's most recent firmware updates, to securely integrate with a device intent enforcement mechanism (e.g., Manufacturer Usage Description (MUD) (<u>RFC 8520</u>)), to keep the device updated and patched, and to establish and maintain the device's network credentials by provisioning X.509 certificates to the device and updating expired network credentials. Table 2-5 lists the capabilities that may be demonstrated in this scenario.

Table 2-5 Scenario 5 Credential and Device Posture Establishment and Maintenance Capabilities That
 May Be Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                               | Description  |
|------------|--|--|
| \$5.C1     | Trusted Firmware<br>Updates              | The device can download the most recent firmware update and verify its signature before it is installed.   |
| S5.C2      | Credential Certificate<br>Provisioning   | The onboarding mechanism can interact with a certificate authority to sign a device's X.509 certificate and provision it onto the device.  |
| \$5.C3     | Credential Update                        | The device's network credential can be updated after it expires.   |
| \$5.C4     | Server Attestation                       | Successful server attestation is required prior to permitting the server to perform some operation on the device (e.g., prior to downloading and installing updates onto the device).  |
| S5.C5      | Secure Integration<br>with MUD           | The network-layer onboarding mechanism can convey necessary<br>device intent information (e.g., the IoT device's MUD URL) to the<br>network in encrypted form, thereby securely binding this<br>information to the device and ensuring its confidentiality and<br>integrity. |
| \$5.C6     | Lifecycle<br>Management<br>Establishment | The device has a lifecycle management service and can<br>automatically establish a secure association with it after performing<br>network-layer onboarding and connecting to the network.  |

## 259 **3 Functional Demonstration Results**

260 This section records the capabilities that were demonstrated for each of the builds.

## 261 **3.1 Build 1 Demonstration Results**

- Table 3-1 lists the capabilities that were demonstrated by Build 1.
- 263 Table 3-1 Build 1 Capabilities Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                | Description  | Demonstrated?     | Explanation/Notes  |
|------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|--|
|            | •                         | Scenario 1: Trusted Netwo  | ork-Layer Onboard | ing  |
| S1.C1      | Device<br>Authentication  | The onboarding<br>mechanism<br>authenticates the<br>device's identity.                                 | Yes               | DPP performs device authentication.  |
| S1.C2      | Device<br>Authorization   | The onboarding<br>mechanism verifies that<br>the device is authorized<br>to onboard to the<br>network. | Yes               | When the device's URI is<br>found on the HPE cloud<br>service, this verifies that<br>the device is authorized to<br>onboard to the network.  |
| \$1.C3     | Network<br>Authentication | The device can verify the network's identity.  | No                | This could be supported by<br>providing the IoT device<br>with the DPP URI of the<br>network, but the UXI<br>sensor used in this build<br>lacks the user interface<br>needed to do so.   |
| S1.C4      | Network<br>Authorization  | The device can verify<br>that the network is<br>authorized to take<br>control of it.                   | Yes               | The network that<br>possesses the device's<br>public key is implicitly<br>authorized to onboard the<br>device by virtue of its<br>knowledge of the device's<br>public key. While this is not<br>cryptographic, it does<br>provide a certain level of<br>assurance that the "wrong"<br>network doesn't take<br>control of the device. |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability   | Description   | Demonstrated?     | Explanation/Notes  |
|------------|--|---|-------------------|--|
| \$1.C5     | Secure Local<br>Credentialing  | The onboarding<br>mechanism securely<br>provisions local network<br>credentials to the<br>device.   | Yes               | DPP provisions the device's<br>network credentials over<br>an encrypted channel. |
| S1.C6      | Secure Storage   | The credentials are<br>provisioned to secure<br>hardware-backed<br>storage on the device.   | Yes               | The bootstrapping<br>credentials are stored in a<br>TPM 2.0 hardware enclave.    |
| S1.C7      | Network<br>Selection   | The onboarding<br>mechanism provides the<br>IoT device with the<br>identifier of the network<br>to which the device<br>should onboard.  | Yes               | The network responds to device chirps.   |
| S1.C8      | Interoperability   | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>can onboard two types<br>of IoT devices (e.g.,<br>different device vendors<br>and models).   | Yes               | IoT devices from Build 2<br>were successfully<br>onboarded in Build 1.           |
|            | S  | cenario 2: Trusted Applicat   | tion-Layer Onboar | ding   |
| S2.C1      | Automatic<br>Initiation of<br>Streamlined<br>Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding | The device can<br>automatically (i.e., with<br>no manual intervention<br>required) initiate trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding after<br>performing network-<br>layer onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. In this case,<br>the application-layer<br>onboarding<br>bootstrapping<br>information has been<br>securely conveyed to<br>the device during the | No                | Not supported in this build.   |

| Demo  | Capability   | Description   | Demonstrated?   | Explanation/Notes  |
|-------|--|---|-----------------|--|
| ID    |  |   |                 |  |
|       |  | network-layer   |                 |  |
|       |  | onboarding process.   |                 |  |
| S2.C2 | Automatic<br>Initiation of<br>Independent<br>Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding | The device can<br>automatically (i.e., with<br>no manual intervention<br>required) initiate trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding after<br>performing network-<br>layer onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. In this case,<br>the application-layer<br>onboarding<br>bootstrapping<br>information has been<br>pre-provisioned to the<br>device by the device<br>manufacturer or<br>integrator (e.g., as part<br>of an application that<br>has been installed on<br>the device during the<br>manufacturing process). | Yes             | Once onboarded, the UXI<br>sensor automatically<br>initiates application-layer<br>onboarding to the UXI<br>application.  |
| S2.C3 | Trusted<br>Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding                                   | The device and a trusted<br>application service can<br>establish an encrypted<br>connection without<br>exposing any<br>information that must<br>be protected to ensure<br>the confidentiality of the<br>connection. They can<br>then use that secure<br>association to exchange<br>application-layer<br>information.  | Yes             | Once onboarded, the UXI<br>sensor establishes a secure<br>connection with the UXI<br>cloud, which provisions the<br>sensor with its credentials<br>for the UXI application.<br>Later, the sensor uploads<br>data to the UXI application<br>securely. |
|       |  | Scenario 3: Re-Onbo   | arding a Device |  |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                      | Description   | Demonstrated?    | Explanation/Notes   |
|------------|---|---|------------------|---|
| S3.C1      | Credential<br>Deletion                          | The device's network<br>credential can be<br>deleted.   | Yes              | Factory reset and manual<br>credential removal were<br>leveraged. |
| \$3.C2     | De-<br>Credentialed<br>Device Cannot<br>Connect | After the device's<br>network credential has<br>been deleted, the device<br>is not able to connect to<br>or communicate on the<br>network securely.   | Yes              | Observed.   |
| \$3.C3     | Re-Onboarding<br>(network layer)                | After the device's<br>network credential has<br>been deleted, the<br>network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>can security re-provision<br>a network credential to<br>the device, which the<br>device can then use to<br>connect to the network<br>securely.                  | Yes              | Observed.   |
| \$3.C4     | Re-Onboarding<br>(application<br>layer)         | After the device's<br>network and<br>application-layer<br>credentials have been<br>deleted and the device<br>has been re-onboarded<br>at the network layer and<br>re-connected to the<br>network, the device can<br>again perform trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding. | Yes              | Observed.   |
|            |   | Scenario 4: Ongoing D   | evice Validation |   |
| S4.C1      | Device<br>Attestation<br>(initial)              | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>requires successful<br>device attestation prior  | No               | Not supported in this build.                                      |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                      | Description  | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes               |
|------------|---|--|---------------|---------------------------------|
|            |   | to permitting the device to be onboarded.  |               |                                 |
| S4.C2      | Device<br>Attestation<br>(application<br>layer) | The application-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>requires successful<br>device attestation prior<br>to permitting the device<br>to be onboarded.   | No            | Not supported in this build.    |
| S4.C3      | Device<br>Attestation<br>(ongoing)              | Successful device<br>attestation is required<br>prior to permitting the<br>device to perform some<br>operation (e.g.,<br>accessing a high-value<br>resource).  | No            | Not supported in this build.    |
| S4.C4      | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(initial)      | Upon connection, the<br>IoT device is assigned to<br>some local network<br>segment in accordance<br>with policy, which may<br>include an assessment<br>of its security posture.  | No            | Not demonstrated in this phase. |
| \$4.C5     | Behavioral<br>Analysis                          | Device behavior is<br>observed to determine<br>whether the device<br>meets the policy criteria<br>required to be<br>permitted to perform a<br>given operation (e.g., to<br>access a high-value<br>resource or be placed on<br>a given network<br>segment). | No            | Not supported in this build.    |
| S4.C6      | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(ongoing)      | The IoT device can be<br>reassigned to a different<br>network segment based<br>on ongoing assessments  | No            | Not supported in this build.    |

| Demo    | Capability                                | Description  | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes  |
|---------|---|--|---------------|--|
| ID      |   |  |               |  |
|         |   | of its conformance to  |               |  |
|         |   | policy criteria.   |               |  |
| Scenari | o 5: Establishmei                         |  |               | Security Posture Throughout  |
|         | Γ   | the Lifect   | ycle          |  |
| \$5.C1  | Trusted<br>Firmware<br>Updates            | The device can<br>download the most<br>recent firmware update<br>and verify its signature<br>before it is installed.   | No            | Not supported in this build.   |
| \$5.C2  | Credential<br>Certificate<br>Provisioning | The onboarding<br>mechanism can interact<br>with a certificate<br>authority to sign a<br>device's X.509 certificate<br>and provision it onto the<br>device.  | Yes           | This capability has been<br>successfully demonstrated.<br>Ongoing work will look to<br>demonstrate the capability<br>with the WISeKey CA.          |
| S5.C3   | Credential<br>Update                      | The device's network<br>credential can be<br>updated after it expires.   | No            | Not demonstrated in this phase.  |
| S5.C4   | Server<br>Attestation                     | Successful server<br>attestation is required<br>prior to permitting the<br>server to perform some<br>operation on the device<br>(e.g., prior to<br>downloading and<br>installing updates onto<br>the device).                        | No            | Not supported in this build.   |
| S5.C5   | Secure<br>Integration<br>with MUD         | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>can convey necessary<br>device intent<br>information (e.g., the<br>IoT device's MUD URL)<br>to the network in<br>encrypted form, thereby<br>securely binding this<br>information to the | No            | Supported by DPP, but not<br>demonstrated because<br>Build 1 is not integrated<br>with MUD or any other<br>device intent enforcement<br>mechanism. |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                               | Description  | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes            |
|------------|--|--|---------------|------------------------------|
|            |  | device and ensuring its<br>confidentiality and<br>integrity.   |               |                              |
| S5.C6      | Lifecycle<br>Management<br>Establishment | The device has a<br>lifecycle management<br>service and can<br>automatically establish a<br>secure association with<br>it after performing<br>network-layer<br>onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. | No            | Not supported in this build. |

## 264 3.2 Build 2 Demonstration Results

- Table 3-2 lists the capabilities that were demonstrated by Build 2.
- 266 Table 3-2 Build 2 Capabilities Demonstrated

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                | Description   | Demonstrated?     | Explanation/Notes   |
|------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
|            |                           | Scenario 1: Trusted Networ  | k-Layer Onboardin | g   |
| S1.C1      | Device<br>Authentication  | The onboarding<br>mechanism authenticates<br>the device's identity.                                 | Yes               | DPP performs device authentication.   |
| S1.C2      | Device<br>Authorization   | The onboarding<br>mechanism verifies that<br>the device is authorized to<br>onboard to the network. | Yes               | Only devices that have<br>been added/approved by<br>the administrator are<br>onboarded. When the<br>device's URI is found, the<br>controller authorizes the<br>device to join the<br>network. |
| \$1.C3     | Network<br>Authentication | The device can verify the network's identity.   | No                | This could be supported<br>by providing the IoT<br>device with the DPP URI of<br>the network, but this is   |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                | Description   | Demonstrated?     | Explanation/Notes  |
|------------|---|---|-------------------|--|
|            |   |   |                   | not currently<br>implemented.  |
| \$1.C4     | Network<br>Authorization                  | The device can verify that<br>the network is authorized<br>to take control of it.   | Yes               | The network that<br>possesses the device's<br>public key is implicitly<br>authorized to onboard the<br>device by virtue of its<br>knowledge of the device's<br>public key. While this is<br>not cryptographic, it does<br>provide a certain level of<br>assurance that the<br>"wrong" network doesn't<br>take control of the device. |
| S1.C5      | Secure Local<br>Credentialing             | The onboarding<br>mechanism securely<br>provisions local network<br>credentials to the device.  | Yes               | DPP provisions the<br>device's network<br>credentials over an<br>encrypted channel.  |
| S1.C6      | Secure Storage                            | The credentials are<br>provisioned to secure<br>hardware-backed storage<br>on the device.   | No                | The IoT device does not have secure hardware-<br>backed storage.   |
| S1.C7      | Network<br>Selection                      | The onboarding<br>mechanism provides the<br>IoT device with the<br>identifier of the network<br>to which the device<br>should onboard.  | Yes               | Network responds to device chirps.   |
| S1.C8      | Interoperability                          | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>can onboard two types of<br>IoT devices (e.g., different<br>device vendors and<br>models). | Yes               | Build 2 was able to<br>onboard the IoT devices<br>from Build 1.  |
|            |   | Scenario 2: Trusted Applicati   | on-Layer Onboardi | ng   |
| S2.C1      | Automatic<br>Initiation of<br>Streamlined | The device can<br>automatically (i.e., with no<br>manual intervention   | Yes               | This has been<br>demonstrated with the   |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability   | Description  | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes   |
|------------|--|--|---------------|---|
|            | Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding  | required) initiate trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding after<br>performing network-layer<br>onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. In this case, the<br>application-layer<br>onboarding bootstrapping<br>information has been<br>securely conveyed to the<br>device during the<br>network-layer onboarding<br>process.   |               | OCF lotivity custom<br>extension.   |
| \$2.C2     | Automatic<br>Initiation of<br>Independent<br>Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding | The device can<br>automatically (i.e., with no<br>manual intervention<br>required) initiate trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding after<br>performing network-layer<br>onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. In this case, the<br>application-layer<br>onboarding bootstrapping<br>information has been pre-<br>provisioned to the device<br>by the device<br>manufacturer or<br>integrator (e.g., as part of<br>an application that has<br>been installed on the<br>device during the<br>manufacturing process). | No            | Not supported in this<br>build.   |
| S2.C3      | Trusted<br>Application-<br>Layer<br>Onboarding                                   | The device and a trusted<br>application service can<br>establish an encrypted<br>connection without  | Yes           | Once the device is<br>onboarded to the network<br>using DPP, the credentials<br>for the application layer |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                      | Description   | Demonstrated?  | Explanation/Notes  |
|------------|---|---|----------------|--|
|            |   | exposing any information<br>that must be protected to<br>ensure the confidentiality<br>of the connection. They<br>can then use that secure<br>association to exchange<br>application-layer<br>information.  |                | onboarding are sent over<br>the secure channel and<br>provisioned by the<br>onboarding tool (OBT). |
|            |   | Scenario 3: Re-Onboa  | rding a Device |  |
| \$3.C1     | Credential<br>Deletion                          | The device's network credential can be deleted.   | Yes            | Supports factory reset.  |
| \$3.C2     | De-<br>Credentialed<br>Device Cannot<br>Connect | After the device's network<br>credential has been<br>deleted, the device is not<br>able to connect to or<br>communicate on the<br>network securely.   | Yes            | Observed.  |
| S3.C3      | Re-Onboarding<br>(network layer)                | After the device's network<br>credential has been<br>deleted, the network-<br>layer onboarding<br>mechanism can security<br>re-provision a network<br>credential to the device,<br>which the device can then<br>use to connect to the<br>network securely.                  | Yes            | Observed.  |
| \$3.C4     | Re-Onboarding<br>(application<br>layer)         | After the device's network<br>and application-layer<br>credentials have been<br>deleted and the device<br>has been re-onboarded at<br>the network layer and re-<br>connected to the network,<br>the device can again<br>perform trusted<br>application-layer<br>onboarding. | Yes            | Observed.  |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                      | Description  | Demonstrated?   | Explanation/Notes   |
|------------|---|--|-----------------|---|
|            |   | Scenario 4: Ongoing De   | vice Validation |   |
| S4.C1      | Device<br>Attestation<br>(initial)              | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>requires successful device<br>attestation prior to<br>permitting the device to<br>be onboarded.   | No              | Not supported in this build.  |
| S4.C2      | Device<br>Attestation<br>(application<br>layer) | The application-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>requires successful device<br>attestation prior to<br>permitting the device to<br>be onboarded.   | No              | Not supported in this build.  |
| \$4.C3     | Device<br>Attestation<br>(ongoing)              | Successful device<br>attestation is required<br>prior to permitting the<br>device to perform some<br>operation (e.g., accessing<br>a high-value resource).   | No              | Not supported in this build.  |
| S4.C4      | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(initial)      | Upon connection, the IoT<br>device is assigned to some<br>local network segment in<br>accordance with policy,<br>which may include an<br>assessment of its security<br>posture.  | Yes             | When the device is<br>connected to the network,<br>the gateway places it in a<br>restricted network<br>segment based on policy. |
| \$4.C5     | Behavioral<br>Analysis                          | Device behavior is<br>observed to determine<br>whether the device meets<br>the policy criteria required<br>to be permitted to<br>perform a given operation<br>(e.g., to access a high-<br>value resource or be<br>placed on a given network<br>segment). | No              | Not supported in this build.  |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                                 | Description  | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes  |
|------------|--|--|---------------|--|
| S4.C6      | Local Network<br>Segmentation<br>(ongoing) | The IoT device can be<br>reassigned to a different<br>network segment based<br>on ongoing assessments<br>of its conformance to<br>policy criteria.   | Yes           | Device can be moved to<br>new network segments<br>programmatically. The<br>policy to do this is not<br>defined in this build.                      |
| Scenar     | io 5: Establishmei                         | nt and Maintenance of Crede<br>the Lifecy  |               | curity Posture Throughout  |
| \$5.C1     | Trusted<br>Firmware<br>Updates             | The device can download<br>the most recent firmware<br>update and verify its<br>signature before it is<br>installed.   | No            | Not supported in this build.   |
| S5.C2      | Credential<br>Certificate<br>Provisioning  | The onboarding<br>mechanism can interact<br>with a certificate authority<br>to sign a device's X.509<br>certificate and provision it<br>onto the device.   | No            | Not supported in this build.   |
| S5.C3      | Credential<br>Update                       | The device's network<br>credential can be updated<br>after it expires.   | No            | Not demonstrated in this phase.  |
| S5.C4      | Server<br>Attestation                      | Successful server<br>attestation is required<br>prior to permitting the<br>server to perform some<br>operation on the device<br>(e.g., prior to downloading<br>and installing updates<br>onto the device). | No            | Not supported in this build.   |
| S5.C5      | Secure<br>Integration<br>with MUD          | The network-layer<br>onboarding mechanism<br>can convey necessary<br>device intent information<br>(e.g., the IoT device's<br>MUD URL) to the network<br>in encrypted form,<br>thereby securely binding     | No            | Supported by DPP, but not<br>demonstrated because<br>Build 2 is not integrated<br>with MUD or any other<br>device intent enforcement<br>mechanism. |

| Demo<br>ID | Capability                               | Description   | Demonstrated? | Explanation/Notes            |
|------------|--|---|---------------|------------------------------|
|            |  | this information to the<br>device and ensuring its<br>confidentiality and<br>integrity.   |               |                              |
| \$5.C6     | Lifecycle<br>Management<br>Establishment | The device has a lifecycle<br>management service and<br>can automatically<br>establish a secure<br>association with it after<br>performing network-layer<br>onboarding and<br>connecting to the<br>network. | No            | Not supported in this build. |