

Validating the Integrity of Computing Devices

Volume A:
Executive Summary

Jon Boyens
Tyler Diamond*
Nakia Grayson
Celia Paulsen
William T. Polk
Andrew Regenscheid
Murugiah Souppaya

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Information Technology Laboratory

Karen Scarfone
Scarfone Cybersecurity
Clifton, Virginia

**Former employee; all work for this publication was done while at employer*

June 2022

DRAFT

This publication is available free of charge from
<https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/projects/building-blocks/supply-chain-assurance>

1 Executive Summary

2 Organizations are increasingly at risk of cyber supply chain compromise, whether intentional or
3 unintentional. Cyber supply chain risks include counterfeiting, unauthorized production, tampering,
4 theft, and insertion of unexpected software and hardware. Managing these risks requires ensuring the
5 integrity of the cyber supply chain and its products and services. This project will demonstrate how
6 organizations can verify that the internal components and system firmware of the computing devices
7 they acquire are genuine and have not been unexpectedly altered during manufacturing, distribution, or
8 operational use.

9 CHALLENGE

10 Technologies today rely on complex, globally distributed and interconnected supply chain ecosystems to
11 provide highly refined, cost-effective, and reusable solutions. Most organizations' security processes
12 consider only the visible state of computing devices. The provenance and integrity of a delivered device
13 and its components are typically accepted without validating through technology that there were no
14 unexpected modifications. Provenance is the comprehensive history of a device throughout the entire
15 life cycle from creation to ownership, including changes made within the device or its components.
16 Assuming that all acquired computing devices are genuine and unmodified increases the risk of a
17 compromise affecting products in an organization's supply chain, which in turn increases risks to
18 customers and end users.

19 Organizations currently lack the ability to readily distinguish trustworthy products from others. Having
20 this ability is a critical foundation of cyber supply chain risk management (C-SCRM). C-SCRM is the
21 process of identifying, assessing, and mitigating the risks associated with the distributed and
22 interconnected nature of supply chains. C-SCRM presents challenges to many industries and sectors,
23 requiring a coordinated set of technical and procedural controls to mitigate cyber supply chain risks
24 throughout manufacturing, acquisition, provisioning, and operations.

This practice guide can help your organization:

- Avoid using compromised technology components in your products
- Enable your customers to readily verify that your products are genuine and trustworthy
- Prevent compromises of your own information and systems caused by acquiring and using compromised technology products

25 SOLUTION

26 To address these challenges, the NCCoE is collaborating with technology vendors to develop a prototype
27 implementation in harmony with the National Initiative for Improving Cybersecurity in Supply Chains
28 (NIICS), which emphasizes tools, technologies, and guidance focused on the developers and providers of
29 technology. NIICS' mission is to help organizations build, evaluate, and assess the cybersecurity of
30 products and services in their supply chains. This project aligns with that mission by demonstrating how

31 organizations can verify that the internal components of the computing devices they acquire are
32 genuine and have not been tampered with. This prototype relies on device vendors storing information
33 within each device and organizations using a combination of commercial off-the-shelf and open-source
34 tools that work together to validate the stored information. By doing this, organizations can reduce the
35 risk of compromise to products within their supply chains.

36 In this approach, device vendors create an artifact within each device that securely binds the device's
37 attributes to the device's identity. The customer who acquires the device can validate the artifact's
38 source and authenticity, then check the attributes stored in the artifact against the device's actual
39 attributes to ensure they match. A similar process can be used to periodically verify the integrity of
40 computing devices while they are in use.

41 Authoritative information regarding the provenance and integrity of the components provides a strong
42 basis for trust in a computing device. Hardware roots of trust are the foundation upon which the
43 computing system's trust model is built, forming the basis in hardware for providing one or more
44 security-specific functions for the system. Incorporating hardware roots of trust into acquisition and
45 lifecycle management processes enables organizations to achieve better visibility into supply chain
46 attacks and to detect advanced persistent threats and other attacks. By leveraging hardware roots of
47 trust as a computing device traverses the supply chain, we can maintain trust in the computing device
48 throughout its operational lifecycle.

49 This project will address several processes, including:

- 50 ▪ how to create verifiable descriptions of components and platforms, which may be done by
51 original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), platform integrators, and even information
52 technology (IT) departments;
- 53 ▪ how to verify devices and components within the single transaction between an OEM and a
54 customer; and
- 55 ▪ how to verify devices and components at subsequent stages in the system lifecycle in the
56 operational environment.

57 This project will also demonstrate how to inspect the verification processes themselves.

58 The following is a list of the project's collaborators.

Collaborator	Security Capability or Component
	Integrated Risk Management Platform, Incident Management, Integrating Data from Asset Discovery and Management and Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) Systems
	Manufacturer, Platform Integrity Validation System
	Platform Integrity Validation System



Manufacturer, Platform Integrity Validation System



Hewlett Packard
Enterprise

Manufacturer, Platform Integrity Validation System



Security Information and Event Management



Manufacturer, Platform Integrity Validation System



Certificate Authority, Platform Integrity Validation System



SEAGATE

GOVERNMENT
SOLUTIONS

Manufacturer, Platform Integrity Validation System

59 While the NCCoE is using a suite of commercial products to address this challenge, this guide does not
60 endorse these particular products, nor does it guarantee compliance with any regulatory initiatives. Your
61 organization's information security experts should identify the products that will best integrate with
62 your existing tools and IT system infrastructure. Your organization can adopt this solution or one that
63 adheres to these guidelines in whole, or you can use this guide as a starting point for tailoring and
64 implementing parts of a solution.

65 HOW TO USE THIS GUIDE

66 Depending on your role in your organization, you might use this guide in different ways:

67 **Business decision makers, including chief information security and technology officers** can use this
68 part of the guide, *NIST SP 1800-34a: Executive Summary*, to understand the drivers for the guide, the
69 cybersecurity challenge we address, our approach to solving this challenge, and how the solution could
70 benefit your organization.

71 **Technology, security, and privacy program managers** who are concerned with how to identify,
72 understand, assess, and mitigate risk can use *NIST SP 1800-34b: Approach, Architecture, and Security*
73 *Characteristics*. It describes what we built and why, including the risk analysis performed and the
74 security/privacy control mappings.

75 **IT professionals** who want to implement an approach like this can make use of *NIST SP 1800-34c: How-*
76 *To Guides*. It provides specific product installation, configuration, and integration instructions for
77 building the example implementation, allowing you to replicate all or parts of this project.

78 **SHARE YOUR FEEDBACK**

79 You can view or download the draft guide at <https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/supply-chain-assurance>. Help
80 the NCCoE make this guide better by sharing your thoughts with us. We recognize that technical
81 solutions alone will not fully enable the benefits of our prototype implementation, so we encourage
82 organizations to share lessons learned and best practices for integrating the C-SCRM processes
83 associated with implementing this guide.

84 To provide comments, join the community of interest, or learn more about the project and example
85 implementation, contact the NCCoE at supplychain-nccoe@nist.gov.

86 **COLLABORATORS**

87 Collaborators participating in this project submitted their capabilities in response to an open call in the
88 Federal Register for all sources of relevant security capabilities from academia and industry (vendors
89 and integrators). Those respondents with relevant capabilities or product components signed a
90 Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) to collaborate with NIST in a consortium to
91 build this example solution.

92 Certain commercial entities, equipment, products, or materials may be identified by name or company
93 logo or other insignia in order to acknowledge their participation in this collaboration or to describe an
94 experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply special
95 status or relationship with NIST or recommendation or endorsement by NIST or NCCoE; neither is it
96 intended to imply that the entities, equipment, products, or materials are necessarily the best available
97 for the purpose.